

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

HA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,667	10/31/2003	Carl Staelin	200311281-1	1031	
	7590 01/10/200 CKARD COMPANY	7	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 27240	00, 3404 E. HARMON	NGUYEN, THINH H			
	AL PROPERTY ADM IS, CO 80527-2400	INISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2861		
			·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/10/2007	PAI	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/698,667	STAELIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thinh H. Nguyen	2861				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		 action is non-final.					
′ 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	,, .,					
4) 🔀	Claim(s) 1-17 and 28-36 is/are pending in the	annlication					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) 1-10 and 28 is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>11,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
	 ✓ Claim(s) 12-13,16-17,29-36 is/are objected to. 						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	on Papers	·					
	•	_					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
				ED 1 121/d\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	animon riole are allacined Office		0 102.			
		priority under SE LLC C \$ 140(c)	(4) ~ (4)				
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
α,	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	and the priority described in the priority d						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Seymour et al. (U.S.5,967,049)

Re claim 11, Seymour (figs. 4) discloses the instant claimed a print engine (10) for depositing ink at a thickness that is determined at least in part by a control parameter (as described by control parameter (I); see equation 14, 19, 21, and 24);

a processor (68) for estimating the control parameter (I) (col.13, line 61 – col.14, line 43) by applying an estimation model to a measured state (as described by dot gain G; see equation 21) of the apparatus;

Re claim 14, the apparatus further comprising an optical densitometer (col.2, lines 62-63); and wherein the processor uses an output of the optical densitometer to infer ink thickness;

Re claim 15, the apparatus further comprising sensors (known light reflecting sensor disclosed in the background col.2, lines 35-36) for measuring different state parameters (col.2, lines 64-67) of the digital printing press; and wherein the processor uses at least some of the measurements of the state parameters:

Application/Control Number: 10/698,667

Art Unit: 2861

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-10, 28 are allowed.

4. Claims 12-13, 16-17, 29-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed October 28, 2006 has been entered and carefully considered. However, arguments with respect to claims 11, 14-15 are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant contends (page 10, 3rd paragraph) that Seymour does not teach or suggest applying his model to measured state parameter of the print press. Seymour applied his model to the measurements printed images.

The Examiner disagreed with this contention. As mentioned in the above rejection, Seymour (figs. 4) discloses the instant claimed a print engine (10) for depositing ink at a thickness (230; fig.31) that is determined at least in part (PID loop model block 236) by a control parameter (key setting I; equation 14, 19, 21, and 24; see also fig.31);

a processor (68) for estimating the control parameter (as described in col.16, lines 20-32) by applying an estimation model (primed PID block 238) to measured state parameters (as described by dot gain G; equation 21, see also block 232; fig.31, col.17, lines 41 – col.18, line 18) of the apparatus;

Application/Control Number: 10/698,667

Art Unit: 2861

The examiner also points out that the parameter I, not L as alleged by the Applicant (page 10, 5th paragraph), is presented in the last office action, and is supported by Seymour for controlling ink thickness.

Applicant's argument that potentiometer does not generate developer voltage has been persuaded. Therefore, rejection to claim 12 is being withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing reasons, the examiner asserts that all limitations have been properly evaluated and that the rejection as applied remains proper.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR)

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/698,667

Art Unit: 2861

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Page 5

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Thinh

Nguyen at telephone number (571) 272-2257. The examiner can generally be reached

Mon-Wed, and Thurs from 6:30A - 3:00P. The official fax phone number for the

organization is (571) 273-8300. The examiner supervisor, Stephen Meier, can also be

reached at (571) 272-2149.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

4

Thinh Nguyen

January 7, 2007

Thinh Nguyen Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800